



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,928	07/28/2004	Hisayuki Hirai	256465US2PCT	2159
22850	7590	07/28/2008		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET			LEE, EDMUND H	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
07/28/2008	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/501,928	Applicant(s) HIRAI ET AL.
	Examiner EDMUND H. LEE	Art Unit 1791

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 May 2008.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 15 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/28/04, 8/8/05, 1/31/07

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claim 15 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 5/1/08.

2. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-14 in the reply filed on 5/1/08 is acknowledged.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 10295063 in view of JP 10058545. In regard to claim 1, JP 10295063 teaches all of the claimed limitations (paragraphs 0002, 0020-0028; figs 1-7) except using a liquid heating compound. JP 10058545 teaches impregnating a coil with a prepreg insulation wherein the prepreg is heated and cured by using a liquid heating medium (abstract and fig 1). JP 10295063 and JP 10058545 are combinable since they are analogous with respect to forming an insulated coil wherein the coil is laminated with an insulating layer. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to heat/cure the insulating layer of JP 10295063 with a liquid heating medium as taught by JP 10058545 in order to reducing heating/curing time. In regard to claims 2-5, such is taught by the combination of JP 10295063 and JP 10058545 since JP 10058545 teaches limitations of instant claims 2-5. In regard to claim 6, the use of a

curing reaction accelerator is well-known in the coil and impregnation art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to hold an accelerator against the mica tape of JP 10295063 in order to form a good bond between the coil and tape. In regard to claims 7-11, such is taught by JP 10295063 (paragraphs 0002, 0020-0028; figs 1-7). In regard to claims 12-13, the use of a receiving pan having the claimed design is a mere obvious matter of choice dependent on mold equipment availability and of little patentable consequence to the claimed process since it is not a manipulative feature or step of the claimed process. Further, the use of receiving pans are well-known in the impregnation art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a receiving pan having the claimed design in the process of JP 10295063 in order to maintain a sterile and clean molding environment.

5. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 10295063 in view of JP 10058545. In regard to claim 14, JP 10295063 teaches all of the claimed limitations (paragraphs 0002, 0020-0028; figs 1-7) except using a liquid heating compound. JP 10058545 teaches impregnating a coil with a prepreg insulation wherein the prepreg is heated and cured by using a liquid heating medium (abstract and fig 1). JP 10295063 and JP 10058545 are combinable since they are analogous with respect to forming an insulated coil wherein the coil is laminated with an insulating layer. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to heat/cure the insulating layer of JP 10295063 with a liquid heating medium as taught by JP 10058545 in order to reducing heating/curing time.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following US patents teach the state of the art: 6531082, 3866316, and 6069430.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is 571.272.1204. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571.272.1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EDMUND H. LEE
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1791

EHL

/EDMUND H. LEE/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791